Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/975,226	WEIGELT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Romain Jeanty	3623
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Catharina Schuster.	(3)	
(2) <u>Romain Jeanty</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2007</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 22, 28, 31-32</u> .	·	
· Identification of prior art discussed: <u>See examiner's Amendment</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICANT IS DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	·	
·		
·		
Fuerring Note: Ven report size this farm unless it is		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required